Golub '99: Molecular classification of cancer: class discovery and class prediction by gene expression monitoring.

- Identification of cancer subtypes is important for proper treatment
 - Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) vs. acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)
- Classification used to be based primarily on morphological appearance of the tumor.
 - But tumors with similar histopathological appearance can follow different clinical courses and different response to therapy

Cancer Classification Challenges

- Gene discovery: identification of genes that differ from one tumor class to another
- Class prediction: assigning tumors to known classes.
- Class discovery: identification of new cancer classes.

Classification of Acute Leukemias

- Classification of acute leukemia
 - 1940s: Observation of subtle variability in clinical outcome
 - Subtle differences in clinical outcomes
 - 1960s: Some leukemias were periodic acid-Schiff positive (staining to detect glycogen, glycoproteins, glycolipids) \rightarrow Lymphoid
 - Others were myeloperoxidase positive \rightarrow Myeloid (bone marrow)
 - 1970s: Classification further validated by antibodies recognizing lymphoid and myeloid cell surface receptors.
- 1990s: Further subclassification
 - t(12;21)(p13;q22) chromosomal translocation occurs in 25% of patients with ALL
 - t(8;21)(q22;q22) occurs in 15% of patients with AML
- Classification ALL vs. AML well established
 - but no single test sufficient to establish diagnosis
 - requires expert analysis from specialized lab tests
 - Error prone

DNA microarrays as tool for cancer classification

- Previous microarrays focused on cell culture rather than primary patient samples
- Previous study by same researchers
 - Normal kidney vs. renal cell carcinoma
 - Morphological distinction is easier for that problem

Data collection

- 38 bone marrow samples
 - 27 ALL, 11 AML
- Affymetrix chip containing probes for 6817 human genes

Task1: Gene discovery

- Are there genes with expression patterns strongly correlated with class distinction?
- AML ALL Α "Neighborhood Analysis" c = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) $gene_1 = (e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_{12})$ $gene_2 = (e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{12})$ 100_0 00_10_0_0 Analyze distribution of correlations with 0 0 0 ο 'idealized expression ο pattern" c. 0 0 Compare with distribution by chance 0 0 0 0 0 (shuffle samples in c)

Task1: Gene discovery

 50 genes most correlated with class distinction



Normalized Expression

high

low

Task2: Class Prediction

- Use 50 most informative genes
 - genes with highest correlation to class.
 - Other number of genes gave similar results.
- Leave-one-out cross-validation
- For a new sample, each gene casts a "weighted vote"
 - Weight depends on expression level in new sample and degree of correlation of that gene with class distinction.
- "Neigbhorhood Analysis"
- Analyze distribution of correlations with "idealized expression pattern" c.
- Compare with distribution by chance (shuffle samples in c)



Task2: Class Prediction



Fig. 3. (**A**) Prediction strengths. The scatterplots show the prediction strengths (PSs) for the samples in cross-validation (left) and on the independent sample (right). Median PS is denoted by a horizontal line. Predictions with PS < 0.3 are considered as uncertain. (**B**) Genes

- "Prediction Strength": confidence in prediction
- Class labels not depicted here
- Median PS: 0.77 for cross-validation and 0.73 in independent

Task3: Class Discovery

С

Α





